



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/922,376	08/03/2001	Jeffrey Lynn Chamberlain	75	7492	
75	90 05/05/2003				
Charles R. Sutton			EXAMINER		
14507 Sylvan St., Ste. 208 Van Nuys, CA 91411			SMITH, KIN	SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/922,376	CHAMBERLAIN, JEFFREY LYNN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kimberly S Smith	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the c rrespondence address/					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	<u> March 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a closed in accordance with the practice under a closed in accordance.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	_ · · / _ · ·						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,9 and 14-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10-13 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement						
Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 March 2002</u> is/are: a		ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •						
Attachment(s)	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species II in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant

did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The applicant has

traversed the Election requirement based upon the fact that generic claims 1-6 do not read on

either of the two species which the examiner has characterized. It is noted that claims 1-5 are

generic claims that in fact read on both species. Therefore, claims 1-5 along with claims 7, 8,

10-13 are acknowledged as being readable upon the elected Species II. As such, the election is

considered to be made without traverse

2. Claims 6, 9 and 14-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

4. The substitute specification received on 12/05/02 has been entered. As such, further

discussion of the specification relates to details within the substitute specification.

Art Unit: 3644

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, lines 4 and 5, delete the sentence starting with "Figure 1" as this does not coincide with the specification as modified since Figure 2 is related to the cross-sectional view which has been stated previously under the *Brief description of the Drawings*; with regards to lines 5-6 and 7-8: the inclusion of the phrase "Reference is made now to Figure 2" and "Reference is now made to Figure 1" is not considered necessary as Figures 1 and 2 are views of the same embodiment and therefore reference numerals apply to both views. It is suggested the phrases be deleted to prevent confusion and to provide for more concise specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deshaies, US Patent 5,944,516.

Deshaies discloses a reservoir having a wall (14), a fill aperture (22) and valves (46), the fill aperture having a closable openable cap (30), said cap when open allowing liquid to enter the reservoir through the fill aperture and when closed, denying egress from said reservoir (column 4, lines 31-37), the valves having pressure actuated opening means, when under pressure

Art Unit: 3644

allowing liquid to exit the reservoir and when not under pressure, denying egress from the reservoir (column 5, lines 50-55).

Regarding claim 2, Deshaies discloses an outer layer enclosing the wall having an aesthetic design (reference figure 2).

Regarding claim 7, Deshaies discloses a reservoir having a wall (14), the wall having a fill aperture (22) and valves (46), the fill aperture having an openable cap (30), the valves comprising bores penetrating the wall (seen in Figure 3), a valve mechanism shaped substantially to fill the bores being capable of alternate movement substantially perpendicular to the wall and the mechanism having an opening permitting liquid (16) to flow for the reservoir during a first state of the movement and the opening being blocked by the wall during a second state of alternate movement of the valve mechanism, return means urging said valve movement to the second state of alternate movement of the valve mechanism and the valve mechanism being moveable to a first state of the alternate movement by application of pressure.

Regarding claim 8. Deshaies discloses the pressure being applied to the valve mechanism.

Regarding claim 11, Deshaies discloses an outer layer attached to the wall having an aesthetic design

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 8. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3644

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deshaies, US Patent 5,944,516.

Deshaies discloses the invention as claimed. However, Deshaies does not positively disclose the use of indicia on the outer layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply indicia to the device since it is known in the art that applying indicia, color, patterns, etc, increases the interest of the animal in the toy.

10. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deshaies, US Patent 5,944,516 in view of Hass, US Patent 5,961,406.

Deshaies discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Deshaies does not disclose the use of a cord attached to the apparatus. Hass teaches within the same filed of endeavor the use of a cord attached to an animal apparatus as a means for holding the device without having to contact the wet device after the animal has chewed on it. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a cord to the device of Deshaies as taught by Hass in order to enable the owner to carry the device without having to contact the chewed portion of the device.

11. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deshaies, US Patent 5,944,516 in view of Huettner et al., US Patent 6,092,489 (Huettner).

Deshaies discloses the device substantially as claimed. However, Deshaies does not disclose the use of a noisemaker. Huettner teaches within the same field of endeavor the use of a noisemaker within a reservoir for exciting the animal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the noisemaker as taught by

Art Unit: 3644

Huettner to the device of Deshaies in order to excite the dog and thereby entice the animal in the

Page 6

use of the device.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Ward (US 6,405,681), Peterson (US 5,857,431), Gordon (US 5,191,856).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kimberly S Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-8515.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles T Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular

communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

kss

April 29, 2003

CHARLES T. JORDAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600